arXiv:1611.02231v1 [math.CO] 7 Nov 2016

Modulo k-Orientations and Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture in Graphs with Many Edge-Disjoint Spanning Trees

Morteza Hasanvand,

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, P.O.Box 11155-9415*, Tehran, Iran*

hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu

Abstract

Let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let Z_k be the cyclic group of order k. Take $\lambda_k \in [k+2, \infty)$ to be the smallest integer such that for every λ_k-edge-connected graph G and every mapping $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$, there exits an orientation for G such that for each vertex v, $d^+_G(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, where $d^+_G(v)$ denotes the out-degree of v. Lovász, Thomassen, Wu, and Zhang (2013) proved that $\lambda_k \leq 3k-3$ when k is odd and $\lambda_k \leq 3k-2$ when k is even. In this note, we prove that if a graph G contains $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, then it has an orientation such that for each vertex v, $d^+_G(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, where $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ is a mapping with $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$. This result confirms Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture in graphs with 4 edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Keywords: Modulo k-orientation; Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture; Z_3-connectivity; spanning tree; connectivity.

Introduction

In this note, all graphs have no loop, but multiple edges are allowed. Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$, where Z_k is the cyclic group of order k. An orientation of G is called f-orientation, if for every vertex v, $d^+_G(v) \stackrel{k}{\equiv} f(v)$, where $d^+_G(v)$ denotes the out-degree of v. Let $[k+2, \infty)$ be the set of all integers greater than $k+2$ including $k+2$. Take $\lambda_k \in [k+2, \infty)$ to be the smallest integer such that every λ_k-edge-connected graph G admits an f-orientation, when $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ forms a mapping with

$|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v\in V(G)} f(v)$. For undefined definitions refer to [8].

Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture says that every 4-edge-connected graph admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow. In 2012 Thomassen showed that every 8-edge-connected graph admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow, and in addition constructed the following theorem.

Theorem 1.([13]) *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v\in V(G)} f(v)$. If G is $(2k^2 + k)$-edge-connected, then G has an f-orientation.*

In 2013 Lovász, Thomassen, Wu, and Zhang [8] refined Theorem 1 as the follows, concluding that every 6-edge-connected graph admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow. They also remarked that if the edge-connectivity needed in the following theorem can be reduced by 1, then Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture is true.

Theorem 2.([8]) *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v\in V(G)} f(v)$. If G is λ'_k-edge-connected with*

$$\lambda'_k = \begin{cases} 3k-3, & \text{when } k \text{ is odd,} \\ 3k-2, & \text{when } k \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then G admits an f-orientation.

In this note, we reduce the edge-connectivity needed in Theorem 2, but require the graph to have many edge-disjoint spanning trees. Also, we show that every graph with 4 edge-disjoint spanning trees is Z_3-connected and so admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow.

1 Modulo k-Orientations in Graphs with Many Edge-Disjoint Spanning Trees

The next theorem improves Theorem 2 in graphs with many edge-disjoint spanning trees.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v\in V(G)} f(v)$. If G contains $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, then G has an f-orientation.*

Proof. By induction on $|V(G)|$. For $|V(G)| = 1$, the proof is clear. So, suppose $|V(G)| \geq 2$. If G is λ_k-edge-connected, then it follows from the definition of λ_k. Thus, we assume G is not λ_k-edge-connected. Let $T_1 \ldots, T_{\lambda_k - 2}$ be $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees of G. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an edge cut of G with minimum size. Note that $|\mathcal{C}| \leq \lambda_k - 1$ and $G \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is composed by two disjoint connected graphs G_1 and G_2. Let $r \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ be the unique integer with

$$r + |E(G_1)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G_1)} f(v).$$

Suppose first that $|E(\mathcal{C})| = \lambda_k - 2$. This implies that for each tree T_j, $|E(\mathcal{C}) \cap E(T_j)| = 1$, and hence every graph G_j contains $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees. Since $|E(\mathcal{C})| = \lambda_k - 2 \geq k - 1 \geq r$, one can orient r edges of $\mathcal{C}$ from G_1 to G_2 and $|E(\mathcal{C})| - r$ remaining edges from G_2 to G_1. Now, for every graph G_j, let $f_j : V(G_j) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that for each vertex $v \in V(G_j)$, $f_j(v) = f(v) - g_j(v)$, where $g_j(v)$ is the number of edges of $\mathcal{C}$ directed away from v. Since $r = \sum_{v \in V(G_1)} g_1(v)$, we have $|E(G_1)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G_1)} f_1(v)$. Also, since $|\mathcal{C}| - r = \sum_{v \in V(G_2)} g_2(v)$ and $|E(G)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$, we have $|E(G_2)| \stackrel{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G_2)} f_2(v)$. Thus the induction hypothesis implies that every graph G_j has an f_j-orientation. It is not hard to see that these orientations of G_1, $\mathcal{C}$, and G_2 induce an f-orientation for G. In future cases, we leave some details for the reader in order to apply the induction hypothesis.

Now, suppose that $|E(\mathcal{C})| = \lambda_k - 1$. Without loss of generality assume that $|E(\mathcal{C}) \cap E(T_1)| = 2$ and $|E(\mathcal{C}) \cap E(T_j)| = 1$ for each tree T_j with $j > 1$. Also, without loss of generality assume that the spanning graph of G_1 with the edge set $E(G_1) \cap E(T_1)$ is connected. Therefore, G_1 contains $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees. In G, contract all vertices of G_1 to a single vertex (by removing loops) and call the resulting graph G'_2. It is easy to see that G'_2 contains $\lambda_k - 2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees. Let $f'_2 : V(G'_2) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that for each vertex $v \in V(G'_2) \cap V(G_2)$, $f'_2(v) = f(v)$ and for the vertex $u \in V(G'_2)$ corresponding to G_1, $f'_2(u) = r$.

Now, if $|V(G_1)| > 1$ then by the induction hypothesis, the graph G'_2 has an f'_2-orientation. This orientation of G'_2 induces an orientation for $\mathcal{C}$. In this case, let $f_1 : V(G_1) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that for each vertex $v \in V(G_1)$, $f_1(v) = f(v) - g(v)$, where $g(v)$ is the number of edges of $\mathcal{C}$ directed away from v. At present, by the induction hypothesis, the graph G_1 has an f_1-orientation. It is not hard to see that the f_1-orientation of G_1 and f'_2-orientation of G'_2 induce an f-orientation for G.

In the final case, set $V(G_1) = \{u\}$. Let xu and uy be the two edges of T_1 incident to u. Now, remove the vertex u from G and add a new edge xy to G. Call the resulting graph H. Since T_1 is a tree with no multiple edges, $x \neq y$ and so H has no loop. Note also that $|E(H)| =$

$|E(G)|-|E(\mathcal{C})|+1$. It is not hard to see that H contains λ_k-2 edge-disjoint spanning trees. In G orient the edge xu from x to u and orient the edge uy from u to y. Next, orient exactly r_u-1 edges of $E(\mathcal{C})\setminus\{xu,uy\}$ away from u and orient all remaining edges of $E(\mathcal{C})$ in opposite direction, where $r_u\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$ is the unique integer with $r_u\overset{k}{\equiv} f(u)$. Since $|E(\mathcal{C})\setminus\{xu,uy\}|=\lambda_k-3\geq k-1\geq r_u-1$, this orientation of $\mathcal{C}$ is possible. Now, let $h:V(H)\to Z_k$ be a mapping such that for each vertex $v\in V(H)$, $h(v)=f(v)-g(v)$, where $g(v)$ is the number of edges of $\mathcal{C}\setminus\{xu,uy\}$ directed away from v. By the induction hypothesis, H has an h-orientation. It is not difficult to see that the h-orientation of H and the orientation of $\mathcal{C}$ induce an f-orientation for G. Notice that if the edge xy of H oriented from y to x, for inducing, the direction of two edges xu and uy in G must be reversed. This completes the proof. □

The next corollary can be proved similarly to Proposition 2 in [14]. Note that a similar statement of the following result was appeared in [4], see also [3, 9].

Corollary 1. *Let k and r be two nonnegative integers with $k\geq 3$. If $G=(A_1,A_2)$ is a bipartite graph with $2r+\lambda_k-2$ edge-disjoint spanning trees and $|E(G)|$ is divisible by k, then G admits a decomposition into two spanning graphs G_1 and G_2 such that each G_i contains r edge-disjoint spanning trees and degree of each vertex in $A_i\subseteq V(G_i)$ is divisible by k.*

Remark 1. Corollary 1 reduces the edge-connectivity needed to decompose a graph into isomorphic copies of a fixed tree in [1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12]. For instance, it can reduce the edge-connectivity needed in Theorem 1 in [11] and Theorem 4 in [12] down to 55 and 99 with exactly the same proofs that described in [9, Pages 14 and 16]

Corollary 2. *Every graph with 4 edge-disjoint spanning trees is Z_3-connected and so admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow.*

Jaeger, Linial, Payan, and Tarsi [5] conjectured that every 5-edge-connected graph is Z_3-connected, (in other words $\lambda_3=5$). Hence with respect to this conjecture, one can formulate the next corollary. Note that the complete graph of order 4 contains 2 edge-disjoint spanning trees and is not Z_3-connected.

Corollary 3. *If every 5-edge-connected graph is Z_3-connected, then every graph with 3 edge-disjoint spanning trees is Z_3-connected.*

Remark 2. Kochol [6] proved that if every 5-edge-connected graph admits a nowhere-zero 3-flow, then Tutte's 3-Flow Conjecture is true.

2 Conclusion

In 2006 Barát and Thomassen [2] conjectured that for every tree T there exists a natural number k_T such that every k_T-edge-connected simple graph of size divisible by $|E(T)|$ has a T-edge-decomposition. However, this conjecture investigates only the existence of k_T, an upper bound on k_T was stated in [1] as the following conjecture. Note that every graph with edge-connectivity $2k$ contains k edge-disjoint spanning trees [10, 15].

Conjecture 1.([1]) *Let G be a simple graph of size divisible by k with $k \geq 1$, and let T be a tree of size k. If G contains k edge-disjoint spanning trees, then G admits a T-edge-decomposition.*

When $k \geq 3$, the special case k-star of the above-mentioned conjecture can conclude the next conjecture (more precisely they are equivalent), using an idea that was used in [7]. To see this, for every vertex v of the graph G, replace a large graph H_v containing k edge-disjoint spanning trees with $|E(H_v)| + f(v) \overset{k}{\equiv} 0$ such that after replacing the resulting graph forms a simple graph. Since the new graph contains k edge-disjoint spanning trees and its size is divisible by k, by the assumption it admits a k-star-decomposition. Now, orient the edges of these stars away from their centres. It is not difficult to see that this orientation induces an f-orientation for G.

Conjecture 2. *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 3$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$. If G contains k edge-disjoint spanning trees, then G has an f-orientation.*

On the other situation, if for every $k \geq 3$ we have $\lambda_k \leq 2k - 1$ (see the last paragraph in [7]), then by Theorem 3 one can conclude the next weaker version of Conjecture 2. Note that for the special case $k = 2$, it was proved in [13, Section 4]

Conjecture 3. *Let G be a graph, let k be an integer, $k \geq 2$, and let $f : V(G) \to Z_k$ be a mapping such that $|E(G)| \overset{k}{\equiv} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$. If G contains $2k - 3$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, then G has an f-orientation.*

References

[1] J. Barát and D. Gerbner, Edge-decomposition of graphs into copies of a tree with four edges, Electron. J. Combin., 21 (2014), Paper 1.55, 11.

[2] J. Barát and C. Thomassen, Claw-decompositions and Tutte-orientations, J. Graph Theory, 52 (2006), 135–146.

[3] F. Botler, G. Mota, M. Oshiro, and Y. Wakabayashi. Decomposing highly edge-connected graphs into paths of any given length, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, (2016), inprees.

[4] F. Botler, G. Mota, M. Oshiro, and Y. Wakabayashi, Decompositions of highly connected graphs into paths of length five, http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.04309.

[5] F. Jaeger, N. Linial, C. Payan, and M. Tarsi, Group connectivity of graphs—a nonhomogeneous analogue of nowhere-zero flow properties, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 56 (1992), 165–182.

[6] M. Kochol, An equivalent version of the 3-flow conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 83 (2001), 258–261.

[7] L. Lai, Mod $(2p+1)$-orientations and $K_{1,2p+1}$-decompositions, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 21 (2007), 844–850.

[8] L. M. Lovász, C. Thomassen, Y. Wu, and C.-Q. Zhang, Nowhere-zero 3-flows and modulo k-orientations, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 103 (2013), 587–598.

[9] M. Merker, Decomposing highly edge-connected graphs into homomorphic copies of a fixed tree, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, (2016), inprees.

[10] C. St. J. A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint spanning trees of finite graphs, J. London Math. Soc., 36 (1961), 445–450.

[11] C. Thomassen, Decompositions of highly connected graphs into paths of length 3, J. Graph Theory, 58 (2008), 286–292.

[12] C. Thomassen, Edge-decompositions of highly connected graphs into paths, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg., 78 (2008) 17–26.

[13] C. Thomassen, The weak 3-flow conjecture and the weak circular flow conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 102 (2012), 521–529.

[14] C. Thomassen, Decomposing a graph into bistars, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 103 (2013), 504–508.

[15] W. T. Tutte, On the problem of decomposing a graph into n connected factors, J. London Math. Soc., 36 (1961), 221–230.